



United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Food Safety  
and Inspection  
Service

## **Quarterly Progress Report on *Salmonella* Testing of Selected Raw Meat and Poultry Products: Preliminary Results, April – June 2011**

This report discusses the *Salmonella* verification sampling results for the second quarter CY2011 as presented in Tables 1-10, Chart 1, and Figures 1-8. This data is presented in the format discussed in the *Salmonella* second quarterly report CY2008 which can be accessed on the FSIS website at [http://www.fsis.usda.gov/science/Q2\\_2008\\_Salmonella\\_Testing/index.asp](http://www.fsis.usda.gov/science/Q2_2008_Salmonella_Testing/index.asp).

### **Table 1**

Table 1 describes the performance standards/guidance for 8 product classes and allowed positives for category 1, 2T, 2 and 3.

### **Table 2**

This table summarizes *Salmonella* verification samples including *Salmonella* positive results, based on sample collection date, from April – June 2011 for all eight product classes.

### **Tables 3-10**

Tables 3-10 report the proportion of establishments by categories that have completed at least two recent *Salmonella* verification-sampling sets. New establishments are not identified in any category until FSIS has documented the results from two sets. This aligns the quarterly reports with the monthly posting of names of those establishments in Category 2 and 3. An establishment with its last set  $\leq 50\%$  of the performance standard or guidance and the prior set at  $> 50\%$  of the performance standard or guidance is sub-categorized as 2T, with T standing for transitioning to Category 1.

**Table 7** (ground beef) continues to report only those establishments eligible for traditional *Salmonella* verification sampling sets and that have completed two sets.

A total of 879 samples in the low volume ground beef sampling program were collected and analyzed from April – June 2011, and 8 samples were positive for *Salmonella*.

### **Chart 1**

This chart represents the total percentage of establishments within product classes classified by category and sub-category. This chart indicates that as of June 30, 2011, 85% of establishments are in Category 1, 8% are in Category 2T, 6% are in Category 2, and 1% are in Category 3.

## Figures 1-8

Figures 1-8 summarize *Salmonella* positive results by product classes for the most recent five quarters. **NOTE:** While earlier quarterly reports included individual sample results from "A" sets only, the current report format summarizes data from all sets. This change was discussed in the 2006-second quarterly report, which can be accessed on the FSIS website: [http://www.fsis.usda.gov/science/Q2\\_2006\\_Salmonella\\_Testing/index.asp](http://www.fsis.usda.gov/science/Q2_2006_Salmonella_Testing/index.asp).

## Additional information regarding this report

In order to handle sample scheduling more efficiently and to update information on actively producing establishments, the Agency continues to automate the sampling algorithm process. Therefore, the total number of active operating establishments can change quarter to quarter as establishments exit or enter the industry. This change does not apply to intermittently producing establishments. The Agency will continue to categorize them because they are considered to be operating at some level.

Given the revised sampling strategy, the percentage of positive samples is no longer useful as an indicator of trends. As described above, FSIS has implemented placing establishments into Category 1, 2T, 2, or 3 as an alternative trend indicator for the *Salmonella* verification sampling program. While the Agency does not expect the category trend indicator to vary greatly on a quarterly basis, it should facilitate tracking of overall industry performance when examined over multiple years. Other trend indicators continue to be considered.

Beginning March 28, 2008, FSIS posted completed *Salmonella* sample set results from young chicken slaughter establishments with variable (Category 2) and highly variable (Category 3) process control. In April 2011, there were 10 establishments in Category 2 and 4 in Category 3. In May, there were 12 establishments in Category 2 and 2 in Category 3, and in June, there were 12 establishments in Category 2 and 2 in Category 3. FSIS continues to update this information on or about the 15th of each month. The current posting can be accessed at: [http://www.fsis.usda.gov/Science/Salmonella\\_Verification\\_Testing\\_Program/index.asp](http://www.fsis.usda.gov/Science/Salmonella_Verification_Testing_Program/index.asp).

As announced in the January 2008 Federal Register Notice: *Salmonella* Verification Sampling Program: Response to Comments and New Agency Policies (<http://www.fsis.usda.gov/OPPDE/rdad/FRPubs/2006-0034.pdf>), turkey establishments in Category 2 and 3 were eligible for posting to the FSIS website beginning May 15, 2008. However, because > 90% of all turkey establishments eligible for *Salmonella* testing are in Category 1, and no establishments are in Category 3, turkey establishments are currently not being posted. The Agency is still considering publishing category results for establishments in additional product classes. Annual reports summarizing results for calendar years are available on the FSIS Web site as well as previous quarterly reports. The restructuring of *Salmonella* set scheduling, however, means that it is inappropriate to compare results from 2006 onwards to previous years. Similarly, the changes to the *Salmonella* verification-sampling program prevent valid comparisons of testing results over time (e.g., quarter-to-quarter or year-to-year trends).

**Table 1: Product classes, performance standards/guidance and allowed positives for category 1, 2T, 2 and 3.**

Product class	Performance standard (percent positive for <i>Salmonella</i> ) (%)	Number of samples tested	Maximum number of positives to achieve standard	Category 1 <sup>§</sup>	Category 2T (letter T standing for transitioning) <sup>§</sup>	Category 2 <sup>§</sup>	Category 3 <sup>§</sup>
Broiler	20.0	51	12	recent two set results ≤6	last set ≤6 and prior set >6 w/o failing	either last one or two sets ≥6 w/o failing	most recent set ≥ 13
Cow/Bull	2.7	58	2	most recent two set results ≤1	last set ≤1 and the prior set >2 w/o failing	either the last one or two set results ≥1 w/o failing	most recent set ≥ 3
Ground Beef <sup>Ⓞ</sup>	7.5	53	5	most recent two set results set ≤2	last set ≤2 and the prior set >2 w/o failing	either the last one or two set results ≥2 w/o failing	most recent set ≥6
Ground Chicken	44.6	53	26	most recent two set results set ≤13	last set ≤13 and the prior set >13 w/o failing	either the last one or two set results ≥13 w/o failing	Most recent set ≥ 27
Ground Turkey <sup>Ⓞ</sup>	49.9	53	29	most recent two set results set ≤14	last set ≤14 and the prior set >14 w/o failing	either the last one or two set results ≥14 w/o failing	Most recent set ≥ 30
Market Hog	8.7	55	6	most recent two set results set ≤3	last set ≤3 and the prior set >3 w/o failing	either the last one or two set results ≥3 w/o failing	Most recent set ≥ 7
Steer/Heifer	1.0	82	1	most recent two set no positives	last set no positive and the prior set 1 positive	either the last one or two set results positive	Most recent set ≥ 2
Turkey* <sup>Ⓞ</sup>	19	56	13	most recent two set results set ≤6	last set ≤6 and the prior set >6 w/o failing	either the last one or two set results ≥6 w/o failing	Most recent set ≥ 14

\*Guidance measure set

§Establishment category, which is based on the most recent two sets completed

ⓄFSIS is now rounding down the allowable positives for Category 1 status for those product classes having odd numbered standards or guidelines. Therefore the current performance standards will be: Turkey (Category 1: ≤6 vs ≤7 *Salmonella* positive results), Ground Beef (Category 1: ≤2 vs ≤3 *Salmonella* positive results), and Ground Turkey (Category 1: ≤14 vs ≤15 *Salmonella* positive results).

**Table 2. Percent Positive *Salmonella* Tests (All Samples) in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program by Product Class and Establishment Size - Second Quarter CY2011 (April 1, 2011 - June 30, 2011).**

All Sets	Establishment Size											
	Large			Small			Very Small			All Sizes		
Product ID	Samples	Positives	Percent Positive	Samples	Positives	Percent Positive	Samples	Positives	Percent Positive	Samples	Positives	Percent Positive
Broilers	338	10	3.0%	138	14	10.1%	58	12	20.7%	534	36	6.7%
Market Hogs	106	0	0.0%	335	15	4.5%	410	19	4.6%	851	34	4.0%
Cows/Bulls	6	0	0.0%	128	0	0.0%	124	2	1.6%	258	2	0.8%
Steers/Heifers	302	0	0.0%	410	0	0.0%	278	0	0.0%	990	0	0.0%
Ground Beef	312	10	3.2%	997	18	1.8%	268	3	1.1%	1577	31	2.0%
Ground Chicken	0	0	N/A	73	20	27.4%	5	4	80.0%	78	24	30.8%
Ground Turkey	145	19	13.1%	19	2	10.5%	9	2	22.2%	173	23	13.3%
Turkeys	51	0	0.0%	53	3	5.7%	0	0	N/A	104	3	2.9%

**Table 3. Broiler Establishments, by Category, 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter CY2011**

Broiler Establishments, by Category, Quarter 1 - 2 CY2011				
Category	Quarter 1	Percent of TOTAL	Quarter 2	Percent of TOTAL
1	148	87%	150	87%
2T	9	5%	10	6%
2	12	7%	11	6%
3	2	1%	1	1%
<b>TOTAL *</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

\*Total Number Of Establishments Currently Operating And Subject To Sampling That Have At Least Two Completed Sets

**Table 4. Market Hog Establishments, by Category, 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter CY2011**

<b>Market Hog Establishments, by Category, Quarter 1 - 2 CY2011</b>				
<b>Category</b>	<b>Quarter 1</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>	<b>Quarter 2</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>87%</b>
<b>2T</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>9%</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>TOTAL *</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>100%</b>

**\*Total Number Of Establishments Currently Operating And Subject To Sampling That Have At Least Two Completed Sets**

**Table 5. Turkey Establishments, by Category, 2nd Quarter CY2011**

<b>Turkey Establishments, by Category, Quarter 1 - 2 CY2011</b>				
<b>Category</b>	<b>Quarter 1</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>	<b>Quarter 2</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>79%</b>
<b>2T</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>18%</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>TOTAL *</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>100%</b>

**\*Total Number Of Establishments Currently Operating And Subject To Sampling That Have At Least Two Completed Sets.**

**Table 6. Cow/Bull Establishments by Category, 2nd Quarter CY2011**

<b>Cow/Bull Establishments, by Category, Quarter 1 - 2 CY2011</b>				
<b>Category</b>	<b>Quarter 1</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>	<b>Quarter 2</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>88%</b>
<b>2T</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8%</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>
<b>TOTAL *</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>100%</b>

**\* Total Number Of Establishments Currently Operating And Subject To Sampling That Have At Least Two Completed Sets**

**Table 7. Ground Beef Establishments By Category, 2nd Quarter CY2011**

<b>Ground Beef Establishments, by Category, Quarter 1 - 2 CY2011</b>				
<b>Category</b>	<b>Quarter 1</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>	<b>Quarter 2</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>81%</b>
<b>2T</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8%</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>9%</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2%</b>
<b>TOTAL *</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>100%</b>

**\*Total Number of Establishments Currently Operating and Subject to Sampling That Have At Least Two Completed Sets. Establishments in Category 1 have 2 or fewer positive sample results**

**Table 8. Ground Chicken Establishments By Category, 2nd Quarter CY2011**

<b>Ground Chicken Establishments, by Category, Quarter 1 - 2 CY2011</b>				
<b>Category</b>	<b>Quarter 1</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>	<b>Quarter 2</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>90%</b>
<b>2T</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10%</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>100%</b>

**\*Total Number Of Establishments Currently Operating And Subject To Sampling That Have At Least Two Completed Sets**

**Table 9. Ground Turkey Establishments By Category, 2nd Quarter CY2011**

<b>Ground Turkey Establishments, by Category, Quarter 1 - 2 CY2011</b>				
<b>Category</b>	<b>Quarter 1</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>	<b>Quarter 2</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>95%</b>
<b>2T</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5%</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>TOTAL *</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>100%</b>

**\*Total Number Of Establishments Currently Operating And Subject To Sampling That Have At Least Two Completed Sets. Establishments in Category 1 have 14 or fewer positive sample results**


**Table 10. Steer/Heifer Establishments By Category, 2nd Quarter CY2011**

<b>Steer/Heifer Establishments, by Category, Quarter 1 - 2 CY2011</b>				
<b>Category</b>	<b>Quarter 1</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>	<b>Quarter 2</b>	<b>Percent of TOTAL</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>84%</b>
<b>2T</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6%</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9%</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>
<b>TOTAL *</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>100%</b>

**\*Total Number Of Establishments Currently Operating And Subject To Sampling That Have At Least Two Completed Sets**


*Data Source: FSIS Data Warehouse (August 09, 2011) Christopher Aston*

**Chart 1: Product Classes by Category for All Establishments:  
April - June 2011**







**Figure 1**  
**Microbiological Results of Broilers (Young Chicken) Carcasses (All Samples) Analyzed for Salmonella in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program Summarized by Quarter from April 2010 to June 2011**




**Figure 2**  
**Microbiological Results of Market Hog Carcasses (All Samples) Analyzed for Salmonella**  
**in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program Summarized**  
**by Quarter from April 2010 to June 2011**




**Figure 3**  
**Microbiological Results of Cow and Bull Carcasses (All Samples) Analyzed for Salmonella in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program Summarized by Quarter from April 2010 to June 2011**




**Figure 4**  
**Microbiological Results of Steer and Heifer Carcasses (All Samples) Analyzed for Salmonella in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program Summarized by Quarter from April 2010 to June 2011**




**Figure 5**  
**Microbiological Results of Ground Beef (All Samples) Analyzed for Salmonella in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program Summarized by Quarter from April 2010 to June 2011**



**Figure 6**  
**Microbiological Results of Ground Chicken (All Samples) Analyzed for Salmonella in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program Summarized by Quarter from April 2010 to June 2011**



**Figure 7**  
**Microbiological Results of Ground Turkey (All Samples) Analyzed for Salmonella in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program Summarized by Quarter from April 2010 to June 2011**



**Figure 8**  
**Microbiological Results of Turkey (All Samples) Analyzed for Salmonella in the PR/HACCP Verification Testing Program Summarized by Quarter from April 2010 to June 2011**

